

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF
United States Patent and Trademark
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATEN
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/753,307	12/29/2000	Jerry Dwight Doty II	2705-101	7831
<sup>20575</sup> MARGER JOH	7590 07/25/2007 HNSON & MCCOLLON		EXAM	INER
210 SW MORI	RISON STREET, SUITE	LE, KAREN L		
PORTLAND, (	OR 97204		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
_ 1			2614	
51 10.25.1				
15:11"			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
フ			07/25/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	;	Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)
	Office Address Occ	09/753,30	7	DOTY ET AL.
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit
		Karen L. Le		2614
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	ion appears on the	cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address
VV HIG - Exte after - If NG - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL! Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF TH CFR 1.136(a). In no ever stion. y period will apply and will by statute, cause the appli	IS COMMUNIC  nt, however, may a re  expire SIX (6) MONT  eating to become ARA	CATION.  Apply be timely filed  FHS from the mailing date of this communication  ANDONED (35.U.S.C. & 133)
Status	or parameters assessed in the first of the f			
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 29 Mav 2007.		
		☐ This action is no	n-final.	
'	Since this application is in condition for a			ers, prosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice u			
Disposit	ion of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the applic	cation.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi		sideration.	
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	3 2 <b>3</b> .	-: <del></del>	
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election red	quirement.	
Applicati	on Papers			
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer		
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		objected to b	v the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the			• •
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by t			
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) 🔲	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § <sup>.</sup>	119(a)-(d) or (f).
_	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		J	
	1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ıments have been	received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority docu			plication No.
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the			
	application from the International B			
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for	•		eceived.
				***************** <b>*</b>
ttachmen	i(s)			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4		mmary (PTO-413)
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	•		Mail Date ormal Patent Application
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  No(s)/Mail Date		i) Other:	
. Patent and Tr	ademark Office	-		EXHIBIT 6
OL-326 (R	ev. uo-uo) Of	fice Action Summary		Part of P  Page 2 of 10

Art Unit: 2614

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The final office action on 2/27/2007 is withdrawn. This action is non-final.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chong et al. (U. S. 6,205,557) in view of Denby et al (US 6,976,062).

Regarding claims 1 and 9, Chong teaches a method and a computer-readable medium for switching active calls between entities (fig.3, server 140 and server 141 of database 103) on a network device (Fig. 2, item 103), the method comprising:

collecting information about a current call on the first processor while the current call is being processed by a first entity (Fig. 3, server 140 and col. 5, lines 7-16), initializing a second processor (Fig. 3, server 141) residing in the network device with the first processor (Col. 5, lines 22-23) with the information while the current call is being processed on the first processor, switching the current call from the first processor to the second processor; releasing the first processor from further processing of the call, and repeating the switching of call from the first processor until the first processor is free for maintenance (Col. 5, lines 18-19 and lines).

Application/Control Number: 09/753,307

Art Unit: 2614

Chong does not teach determining that a time has been reached for an upgrade of firmware on a first processor that is still actively handling calls. However, Denby teaches determining that a time has been reached for an upgrade of firmware on a first processor that is still actively handling calls (See Col. 1, lines 64- Col. 2, lines 46). Denby teaches the automated software upgrade utility allows a customer, product supplier or software vendor to upgrade the operating system, firmware, applications and data files on any product. The upgrade utility may reside with the product supplier and periodically locate remote products and perform the upgrade process. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teach of Denby into Chong's system in order to determine that an upgrade time has arrived. When the detection method in Chong is applied earlier (apply before the processor is failed) then all calls that are transferred will also include all active calls at the time the second server is being initialized. It is extremely old and well known in the art of telephony (and other arts) that when a unit needs upgrading, other unit(s) should "take over" any function(s) of the unit to be upgraded.

Regarding claims 2-4 and 15-18, Chong teaches the processors are digital signal processors located within the same module, the processors are located in different modules located on the same card, and the processors are located on different cards in the network device (Fig.2, DB 103; Fig. 3, server 140 and 141; Fig. 4, processors 170 of 140 and 141).

Application/Control Number: 09/753,307 Page 4

Art Unit: 2614

Regarding claim 6, Chong further teaches initializing a second processor further comprises initiating a retrain sequence on the second entity (Col. 5, lines 22-30).

Regarding claim 7, Chong further teaches the information about a current call includes modulation (Col. 2, lines 43-44)

Regarding claims 10 and 11, Chong further teaches the computer-readable medium comprises a downloadable file and image file upload able into digital signal processor (Col. 6, lines 56-67).

Regarding claims 12 and 14, Chong further teaches a network device, comprising: at least two means for handling active calls residing in the network device (fig.3, item server 140 and server 141 of database 103 and Fig. 1, switching network 100), a means for connecting the means for handling active calls with means for transmitting phone calls (col. 5, lines 16-19); a means for switching active calls from a first processing means for handling active calls to another processing means for handling active calls without interruption, thereby eliminating any active calls on the first means for handling active calls and freeing the first processing means for maintenance (Col. 5, Lines 23-32 and Col. 1, Lines 5-10).

Chong does not teach a means for determining that a time has been reached for an upgrade to a first processing mean that is actively handling calls. However Denby Application/Control Number: 09/753,307 Page 5

Art Unit: 2614

teaches a means for determining that a time has been reached for an upgrade to a first processing mean that is actively handling calls (See Col. 1, lines 64- Col. 2, lines 46). Denby teaches the automated software upgrade utility allows a customer, product supplier or software vendor to upgrade the operating system, firmware, applications and data files on any product. The upgrade utility may reside with the product supplier and periodically locate remote products and perform the upgrade process. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teach of Denby into Chong's system in order to determine that an upgrade time has arrived. When the detection method in Chong is applied earlier (apply before the processor is failed) then all calls that are transferred will also include all active calls at the time the second server is being initialized. It is so simple to understand that it is depend on when detection method is applied (before or after the processor is failed) to provide the maintenance or repairing.

Regarding claim 13, Chong further teaches the device of claim 10 wherein the controller is part of a processor located on one of the entities (Fig. 2, item 103).

Regarding claim 19, Chong further teaches the means for switching active calls further comprises a controller (Fig. 2, item 103).

Art Unit: 2614

4. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chong et al. (U. S. 6,205,557) in view of Denby et al (US 6,976,062) and further in view of Zeck (US 2002/0101605).

Regarding claims 5 and 8, Chong does not teach the steps of copying compression dictionary tables from the first entity and loading compression tables in the second entity. However, Zeck teach the steps of copying compression dictionary tables from the first entity and loading compression tables in the second entity (See Paragraph 24 and 25). Zeck teaches a method for compressing and decompressing electronic documents, with improved compression and reduced history memory size requirements. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the dictionary compression method of zeck into Chong's system in order to compress and decompress data while transmission to have larger volume of data.

Chong does not teach the information about a current call includes country code. However, each country uses different carriers, thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include type of country code to verify what type of carrier that country uses. Information about country code are old and well know in telecommunication system.

# **Response to Arguments**

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karen L. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-7487. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon and Thurs: 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad F. Matar can be reached on 571-272-7493. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

Art Unit: 2614

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Karen Le KLL

July 23, 2007

HARRY S. HONG PRIMARY EXAMINER

Harry S. Hong

## 

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
*	Α	US-6,976,062	12-2005	Denby et al.	709/220
*	В	US-2002/0101605	08-2002	Zeck, Norman W.	358/1.15
	C	US-			
	۵	US-			
	E	US-			
	F	US-			
	G	US-			
	H	US-			
	_	US-			
	J	US-			
	K	US-			
	L	US-			
	М	US-			

#### **FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS**

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N					
	0					
	Р					
	Q					
	R				-	
	S					
	Т					

#### **NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS**

	NON-PAIENT DOCUMENTS				
*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)			
	U				
	٧				
	w	•			
	x				

\*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.